**Gesendet:** Montag, 21. Januar 2013 um 13:25 Uhr  
**Von:** "Jürgen Grieser" <[j.grieser@gmx.de](mailto:j.grieser@gmx.de)>  
**An:** [ipy.dje@gmail.com](mailto:ipy.dje@gmail.com), [humphries.grant@gmail.com](mailto:humphries.grant@gmail.com), [moritzsschmid@googlemail.com](mailto:moritzsschmid@googlemail.com)  
**Cc:** [andreas.becker@dwd.de](mailto:andreas.becker@dwd.de), [paul.becker@dwd.de](mailto:paul.becker@dwd.de), [juergen.grieser@rms.com](mailto:juergen.grieser@rms.com)  
**Betreff:** The GPCC deliberately publishes wrong statements

Sorry: This time with attachment.  
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~  
  
Dear gentlemen,  
  
While I agree that accused authors should have the last say in a public discussion to defend themselves, I have to react on the accusations made by A. Becker et al. in their replies dated 21.12.2013.  
  
First of all, they did not comment on the fact that they deliberately have published wrong statements for years, i.e. stating that the VASClimO dataset is interpolated by Kriging and based on quality-controlled data of the GPCC.  
  
They argue that they have presented to Kevin Trenberth and other well known climatologists as if this would confirm that they are right (C299).  
  
Furthermore they state on C304 ‘ …the VASClimO dataset that has been indeed designed, developed and generated by the two scientists C. Beck and J. Grieser during their tenure with DWD within the so-called DEKLIM-VASClimO project under supervision and mentorship of B. Rudolf.’  
  
My mentor Bruno Rudolf has never allowed me to produce any dataset within the VASClimO-Project. He refused to provide more than 100Mb disk(!) space on the high-capacity computer of the DWD. He knew that he made it impossible to create any of the 8 datasets that should have been produced within this 5-year project. However, he insisted on informing the funding agency in the yearly reports that we will successfully meet the goals. He repeatedly claimed to take full responsibility for his leadership.  
  
In his affirmation in lieu of oath (attached), Dr. Christoph Beck, the second project scientist in the VASClimO-Project, clarifies that he has not contributed to the production of the so-called VASClimO dataset.  
  
Therefore the statement ‘Unfortunately J. Grieser has not understood until today all terms and conditions of his tenure contract with DWD’ is wrong. I was a) obligated to follow B. Rudolfs orders and b) never allowed to produce any dataset. This fact is ignored by DWD for 10 years now. No product at all was produced by means or on behalf of the VASClimO project.  
  
On page C306 A. Becker et al argue ‘I do not know which piece of fortran code J. Grieser is referring to here but …’. I am referring to the many GPCC publications of the last 20 years in which the GPCC claims using Spheremap (Shepard’s Method in spherical coordinates in the version of D. Legates) and citing D. Legates. In 1992 they announced that any changes to the code will be published when done. This happened in 2012, not in 1994 or 1995. Every reader of the GPCC publications - not only me - must assume that they have used Spheremap. So the GPCC can choose whether they have published wrong information for about 20 years and are right in their comment on page C306 or vice versa.  
  
On page C307 the authors write ‘I can only apologize for the fact that also the ESSDD platform was abused by J. Grieser for his personal crusade against the GPCC.’ They apologize for the wrong thing. I will continue informing everybody they try to cheat.  
  
Sincerely,  
  
Juergen Grieser.